# Lecture 1: First-Order Logic 2-AIN-108 Computational Logic

Martin Baláž, Martin Homola

Department of Applied Informatics Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics Comenius University in Bratislava



25 Sep 2012

# FOL: Syntax

## Definition (Alphabet)

## An alphabet contains

- Set of variables  $V = \{x, y, z, \dots\}$
- Set of function symbols  $F = \{f, g, h, \dots\}$
- Set of predicate symbols  $P = \{p, q, r, ...\}$
- Logical connectives  $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$
- Quantifiers∀ ∃
- Auxiliary symbols( ) .



## Definition (Arity)

Given an alphabet with function symbols F and predicate symbols P, arity is any function arity:  $F \cup P \mapsto \mathbb{N}_0$ .

#### Note:

- Arity specifies how many "arguments" each function and predicate required.
- Functions (predicates) of arity 0, 1, 2, 3, and so on are called: nullary, unary, binary, ternary, etc.
- Nullary predicates are also called logical constants propositional variables.
- Nullary functions are also called constant terms constants.

## Definition (Term)

Given an alphabet and an arity function, a term is any of the following:

- a variable;
- a constant;
- an expression  $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$  if f is a function symbol with arity n and  $t_1, ..., t_n$  are terms.

## Definition (Atom)

Given an alphabet and an arity function, an atom is an expression  $p(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$  where p is a predicate symbol with arity n and  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  are terms.

## Definition (Formulae)

Given an alphabet and an arity function, a formula is any expression of the following forms:

where  $\Phi, \Psi$  are formulae, and x is a variable.

## Definition (Language of FOL)

The language of First Order Logic over some alphabet and the respective arity function is the set  $\mathcal{L}$  of all formulae.

Note: from now on we will always assume some fixed FOL language  $\mathcal L$  over some alphabet with the respective arity function.

#### Definition (Ground expressions)

A term, atom, or a formula is ground if it does not contain any variables.

## Definition (Free vs. bounded variable occurrence)

An occurrence of some variable x in a formula  $\Phi$  is free if it is not preceded by  $(\exists x)$  nor by  $(\forall x)$ . The occurrence is bounded otherwise.

#### Definition (Closed formulae)

A formula  $\Phi$  is closed if it does not contain any free occurrence of any variable.

Note: from now on we will assume that all formulae are closed.



## Definition (Theory)

A first order theory (or just theory) T is a finite set of (closed) formulae.

Note: we will look at theories as knowledge bases: a theory T is a set of formulae that describes some situation or some problem.

## Example

Let us assume the following situation: Jack killed John. If someone killed somebody else, he is a murderer. Murderers go to jail. We may encode this in FOL theory T:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Killed}(\mathsf{Jack},\mathsf{John}) \\ (\forall \mathsf{x})((\exists \mathsf{y})\mathsf{Killed}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y}) &\to \mathsf{Murderer}(\mathsf{x})) \\ (\forall \mathsf{x})(\mathsf{Murderer}(\mathsf{x}) &\to \mathsf{Jail}(\mathsf{x})) \end{aligned}$$

## FOL: Semantics

### Definition (First order interpretations)

An structure interpretation is a pair  $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{I} = (D, I)$  where

- D, called domain, is a nonempty set;
- I is an interpretation interpretation function s.t.:
  - I(f) is a function  $f^I: D^{arity(f)} \to D$ ;
  - I(t) is  $t^l = f^l(t_1^l, \dots, t_n^l)$  for any ground term of the form  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ ;
  - I(p) is a relation  $p^I \subseteq D^{arity(p)}$ .

Note:  $D^0 = \{\emptyset\}$ , hence there are two possible interpretations of each propositional variable p: either  $p^l = \{\emptyset\}$  (i.e., p is true) or  $p^l = \emptyset$  (i.e., p is false).

Note: similarly for a constant  $c: c^I: D^0 \to D$ , i.e., each constant term is interpreted by a constant function which returns one of the elements of D.



# FOL: Semantics (cont.)

## Definition (Interpretation extension)

An extension of an interpretation  $\mathcal{I} = (D, I)$  w.r.t. a variable x is an interpretation  $\mathcal{I}' = (D, I')$  where I' is identical to I except for in addition I'(x) = d for some element  $d \in D$ .

# FOL: Semantics (cont.)

## Definition (Satisfaction $\models$ )

A formula  $\Pi$  is satisfied w.r.t. an interpretation  $\mathcal I$  (denoted by  $\mathcal I \models \Phi$ ) based type of  $\Pi$ :

$$\rho(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}): \mathcal{I} \models \rho(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}) \text{ iff } (t_{1}^{I}, \ldots, t_{n}^{I}) \in \rho^{I}; \\
\neg \Phi: \mathcal{I} \models \neg \Phi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I} \not\models \Phi; \\
\Phi \land \Psi: \mathcal{I} \models (\Phi \land \Psi) \text{ iff } \mathcal{I} \models \Phi \text{ and } \mathcal{I} \models \Psi; \\
\text{if } \Phi \lor \Psi: \mathcal{I} \models (\Phi \lor \Psi) \text{ iff } \mathcal{I} \models \Phi \text{ or } \mathcal{I} \models \Psi; \\
\Phi \rightarrow \Psi: \mathcal{I} \models (\Phi \rightarrow \Psi) \text{ iff } \mathcal{I} \not\models \Phi \text{ or } \mathcal{I} \models \Psi; \\
\Phi \leftrightarrow \Psi: \mathcal{I} \models (\Phi \leftrightarrow \Psi) \text{ iff } (\mathcal{I} \models \Phi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I} \models \Psi); \\
(\exists x) \Phi: \mathcal{I} \models (\exists x) \Phi \text{ iff } \mathcal{D}' \models \Phi \text{ for some ext. } \mathcal{I}' \text{ of } \mathcal{I} \text{ w.r.t. } x; \\
(\forall x) \Phi: \mathcal{I} \models (\forall x) \Phi \text{ iff } \mathcal{D}' \models \Phi \text{ for all ext. } \mathcal{I}' \text{ of } \mathcal{I} \text{ w.r.t. } x;$$

where  $\Phi, \Psi$  are any formulae and  $p(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$  is any ground atom.

# Semantics (cont.)

## Definition (Model)

An interpretation  $\mathcal{I}$  is a model of  $\Phi$  if  $\mathcal{I} \models \Phi$ ;  $\mathcal{I}$  is a model of a theory  $\mathcal{T}$  (denoted  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{T}$ ) if  $\mathcal{I} \models \Phi$  for all  $\Phi \in \mathcal{T}$ .

## Definition (Satisfiability)

A formula (or theory) is satisfiable, if it has a model.

# Semantics (cont.)

#### Definition (Entailment)

A theory T entails a formula  $\Phi$  (denoted  $T \models \Phi$ ) if for each model  $\mathcal{I}$  of T we have  $\mathcal{I} \models \Phi$ .

Is there a model of our theory T? T was:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Killed}(\mathsf{Jack},\mathsf{John}) \\ (\forall \mathsf{x})((\exists \mathsf{y})\mathsf{Killed}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y}) &\to \mathsf{Murderer}(\mathsf{x})) \\ (\forall \mathsf{x})(\mathsf{Murderer}(\mathsf{x}) &\to \mathsf{Jail}(\mathsf{x})) \end{aligned}$$

Is there a model of our theory T? T was:

Is there a model of our theory T? T was:

 $\mathsf{Jail}^I = \{\langle s \rangle\}$ 

Is  $\mathcal{I}$  a model of T?



Is there a model of our theory T? T was:

$$\begin{array}{c} {\sf Killed(Jack, John)} \\ (\forall {\sf x})((\exists {\sf y}) {\sf Killed}({\sf x}, {\sf y}) \to {\sf Murderer}({\sf x})) \\ (\forall {\sf x})({\sf Murderer}({\sf x}) \to {\sf Jail}({\sf x})) \\ \\ {\sf Let \ us \ construct \ } {\cal I} = (\{s\}, I) \ {\sf with} : \\ \\ {\sf Jack}^I = s \\ \\ {\sf John}^I = s \\ \\ {\sf Killed}^I = \{\langle s, s \rangle\} \end{array}$$

 $Murderer' = \{\langle s \rangle\}$ 

 $\mathsf{Jail}^I = \{\langle s \rangle\}$ 

Is is our indented model of T?



Is there a model of our theory T? T was:

Does if holds  $T \models Murderer(Jack)$ ?

