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## Overview

- So far:
- Clipping
- Rasterization
- Today:
- Antialiased lines
- Scan conversion
- Edge coherence
- Span coherence
- Interpolation
- Next time:
- Cg


## Antialiased Line Drawing

- Aliasing effects
- Moire patterns
- Staircase, jaggies
- Trivial solution: Increasing resolution
- 4x memory, bandwidth, rendering time
- Reduces aliasing, doesn't eliminate
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## Unweighted Area Sampling

- Line has finite area
- Draw rectangle
- Intensity distribution
- According to percentage covered
- Only intersected pixels affected
- Unweighted sampling
- Equal areas contribute equally
- Entire pixel area of equal weight
- distance pixel center - line no criterion




## Weighted Area Sampling

- Equal areas contribute unequally
- Area close to pixel center has greater influence
- Weighting function
- Centered on each pixel
- Integral = 1

- Larger than pixel diameter
- Weighted intersection area with line rectangle: intensity
- Unweighted Sampling
- Box filter
- Weighted Sampling
- Cone filter
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## Cone-filtered Line Drawing

- Gupta-Sproull algorithm
- Need to know smallest distance from line to pixel center $D$
- Weighted intersected line area: table lookup $W(D, t)$
- $t$. line thickness
- Bresenham
- Decision variable $d$ to find mid-line pixel
- Intensity for mid-pixel and both vertically neighboring pixels ?

- Distance pixels' center - line D


## Antialiased Bresenham Lines

- Distance to line center

$$
D=v \cos \phi=\frac{v d x}{\sqrt{d x^{2}+d y^{2}}}
$$

- In incremental form

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{\text {closest }}=\frac{d \pm 1}{2 \sqrt{\Delta x^{2}+\Delta y^{2}}} \\
D_{ \pm 1}=\frac{2 \pm(d \pm 1)}{2 \sqrt{\Delta x^{2}+\Delta y^{2}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## We are here...



## Scene-to-Screen Conversion

- Scene composed of primitives
- Rendering:
- Determine 2D projection coordinates of each primitive
- Per-vertex transformations
- Find screen pixels covered by primitive
- Clipping
- rasterization
- Handle occlusions between primitives
- Hidden surface removal
- Calculate pixel colors from visible primitives
- shading


## Triangle Filling

- Brute-Force algorithm

```
Raster3_box(vertex v[3])
{
    int x, y;
    bbox b;
    bound3 (v, &b);
    for (y= b.ymin; y < b.ymax; y++)
        for (x= b.xmin; x < b.xmax; x++)
        if (inside(v, x, y))
        fragment (x,y) ;
}
```
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## Inside-Outside Test for Triangles

- Approach
- Implicit edge functions to describe the triangle $F_{i}(x, y)=a x+b y+c$
- Point inside triangle, if every $F_{i}(x, y)<=0$
- Incremental evaluation of the linear function $F$ by adding a or b



## Incremental Rasterization Process

Raster3_incr (vertex v[3]) \{

```
    edge 10, 11, 12;
```

    value d0, d1, d2;
    bbox b;
    bound3 (v, \&b) ;
    mkedge (v[0], v[1], \&12);
    mkedge (v[1], v[2], \&10);
    mkedge (v[2],v[0], \&11);
    \(\mathrm{dO}=10 . \mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{xmin}+10 . \mathrm{b} * \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{ymin}+10 . \mathrm{c}\);
    \(\mathrm{d} 1=11 . \mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{xmin}+11 . \mathrm{b} * \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{ymin}_{\mathrm{m}}+11 . \mathrm{c}\);
    \(\mathrm{d} 2=12 . \mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{xmin}+12 . \mathrm{b} * \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{ymin}_{\mathrm{min}}+12 . \mathrm{c}\);
    for ( \(y=b . y m i n ; y<b . y m a x, y++\) ) \(\{\)
        for ( \(x=b . x m i n ; x<b . x m a x, x++\) ) \{
        if ( \(\mathrm{d} 0<=0\) \&\& \(\mathrm{d} 1<=0 \& \& \mathrm{~d} 2<=0\) ) fragment \((\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})\);
        dO += 10.a; d1 += 11.a; d2 += 12.a;
    \}
    dO += 10.a * (b.xmin - b.xmax) \(+10 . b ;\). . \}
    \}

## Coherence

- Adjacent pixels generally exhibit the same properties
- If a given pixel is inside a polygon, then immediately adjacent pixels are also likely to be inside the polygon. The converse is also true.
- We say that the visibility of adjacent pixels differs only if an edge (or boundary) of the polygon passes between them - a relatively un-common event.
$\Rightarrow$ Exploit pixel coherence for efficient, fast polygon rendering


## Rasterization



- 3D screen space
- Scan line: horizontal line of screen
- Span: extension of polygonal edge on scan line
- From $x_{\text {start }}$ to $x_{\text {end }}$


## Pixel-Level Processes

- Rasterization, hidden surface removal, shading
- Carried out in inner loop of renderer
- Regard one polygon at a time
- Regard one scan line at a time
- Span: intersection of scan line with polygon
- Conversion into run of consecutive pixels: exploits coherence
- Two-dimensional linear interpolation processes

For each scan line, find span

- Limits / x-coordinates: rasterization
- Interpolated from 2D edge vertex positions in screen space

For each span's limits, find

- Scene depth: hidden surface removal
- Interpolated from edge vertices' z-coordinate in screen space
- Color/normal: shading
- Interpolated from edge vertices' color/normal
- Interpolate depth/color between span limits


## Pixel-Level Processes

- Innermost rendering loop

```
for each polygon
{
    perform geometric transformations into screen space
    for each scan line within the polygon
    {
        find span by interpolation of edge vertex
        coordinates
        find span limits' depth & color/normal
        rasterize span
        for each pixel within the span
        {
        interpolate depth & color/normal from span
        limits
        perform hidden surface removal
        shade pixel
        }
    }
```


## Rasterization

- Definition
- Given a primitive, specify which pixels on a raster display are covered by this primitive
- Extension: specify fraction of partially covered pixels
- Antialiasing
- Questions
- Where exactly does a span start/end?
- Where within the pixel should the sample point be ?
- Round screen coordinates to integer ? Store with fractional precision ?
- Problems
- Holes between polygons
- Overlapping polygons (problems with transparency)
- Discontinuities in textured surfaces
- Inaccuracies during anti-aliasing


## Errors in Rasterization

## Screen coordinates

- Integer rasterization
- Distorts shape
- Correct supersampling not possible
- Animation: "wobble"

- Nearly vertical edge: step discontinuity
- Shift in texture mapping
$\Rightarrow$ High precision necessary


## Sample point position

- Pixel center
- Unnecessary fractional-pel offset
- Pixel corner

- Maximal $1 / 2$-pixel displacement
- Doesn't matter as long as consistent


## Polygon Edges

- Bresenham: Closest pixels along edge lines
- Inside or outside polygon
- Overdrawing from neighboring polygons, flickering
- Combine with knowledge about per-scanline span
- Inside-outside: Odd-parity rule



## Span Boundary Rounding



- Real numbers
- Round $x_{\text {start }}$ up
- Round $x_{\text {end }}$ down
- If fractional part of $x_{\text {end }}$ is 0 , subtract 1
- Integer arithmetic (4-bit example)
- Round $x_{\text {start }}$ up to next multiple of 16
- Round $x_{\text {end }}$ down to next multiple of 16
- If $x_{\text {end }}$ is multiple of 16 , subtract 16 from it
- No holes between spans
- No overlap of span
- Generated pixels are always within span bounds


## Span Interpolation

- Find $x_{\text {start }}$ and $x_{\text {end }}$ of span
- Linear interpolation between polygon edge endpoints
- Floating point accuracy
- Modified Bresenham algorithm
- Integer arithmetic
- Determine depth for each pixel
- Find depth at span boundaries
- Linear interpolation between polygon edge endpoints (z-coordinates of vertex positions in 3D screen space)
- Linear interpolation between span boundaries
- Determine color for each pixel
- Gouraud: Find color at span boundaries
- Phong: Find normal direction at span boundaries
- Linear interpolation between polygon edge endpoints
- Linear interpolation between span boundaries


## Efficient Scan Conversion

- In which order to draw polygons/scanlines?
- One polygon after the other
- Frequent scanline jumps
- One scanline after the other
- considers pixels incrementally
- Exploits pixel coherence
- Acceleration data structures
- E.g., triangle strips
- Brute force: intersect all the edges with each scanline
- Find ymin and ymax of each edge
- Intersect edge only when it crosses the scanline
- Calculate the intersection of the edge with the first scan line it intersects
- calculate $d x / d y$
- for each additional scanline, calculate the new intersection as $x=x+d x / d y$


## Edge Coherence Property

"Many of the edges intersected by scan line (i) will also be intersected by scan line (i+1)."

Using the edge coherence property we can save time in computing the intersection of an edge with scan line (i+1) if we know:

- the edge's intersection with scan line (i)
- the slope of the line segment $m$


## Moving from Scan-Line to Scan-Line



## Edge Table

- The Edge Table consists of a series of entries
- Each entry is a linked list
- All edges are sorted by their ymin coordinates
- keep a separate bucket for each scanline
- within each bucket, edges are sorted by increasing $x$ of the ymin endpoint
- A scan line will have a non-empty linked list entry ONLY if it corresponds to the lower $y$-coordinate of a line segment


## Edge Table




- Edge structure: ymax, xmin, dx/dy, next
$A B$ :



## Entry in the Linked List

- An entry in the linked list contains (if an entry is needed):
- The larger $y$-coordinate of the edge (i.e., the maximum scan line)
- The $\boldsymbol{x}$-coordinate of the lower (bottom) end point $\left(\mathbf{X}_{\text {min }}\right)$ (i.e., the $\mathbf{X}$ value for $\mathbf{Y}_{\text {min }}$ )
- The x increment used in stepping from one scan line to the next i.e. $1 / \mathrm{m}$
- If necessary, a pointer to another entry in the linked list of this scan line
- Incremental algorithm
- Utilization of coherence
- along the edges
- on scanlines
- „sweepline-algorithm"


## Use of Active Edge List

- Having created an edge table, we can scan (line by line) using only those edges revelant for that scan line.
- These are held in an active edge list which is created and maintained from the edge table.
- Moving from scan line to scan line, we calculate new $x$ intersections using the equation:

$$
x_{(i+1)}=x_{(i)}+1 / m
$$

- Any new edges intersected by this next scan line are introduced (from the edge table) into the active edge list and edges not intersected by this next scan line are removed.
- This may involve sorting.


## Active Edge List

- A list of edges active for current scanline, sorted in increasing $x$
- Active edge list at

$$
-Y=8
$$



- $Y=9$



## Polygon Scan-Conversion Algorithm

Construct the Edge Table (ET);
Active Edge Table (AET) = null;
for $\mathbf{y}=$ Ymin to $Y$ max
Merge-sort ET[y] into AET by $x$ value
Fill between pairs of $x$ in AET
for each edge in AET
if edge.ymax $=y$
remove edge from AET
else

$$
\text { edge. } x=\text { edge. } x+d x / d y
$$

sort AET by $x$ value
end scan_fill

## Scanline Algorithm

- For each scan line
- Update the Active-Edge-Table
- Linked-list of entries
- Link to edge-entries,
- x, horizontal increment of depth, color, etc
- Remove edges if their ymax is reached
- Insert new edges (from Edge-Table)
- Sorting
- Incremental update of $x$
- Sorting by X-coordinate of the intersection point with scanline
- Filling the gap between pairs of entries



## Polygon Scan-Conversion

- Special cases
- Edge along a scanline
- $(x+\varepsilon, y+\varepsilon)$, shadow test:
- draw the bottom edge
- skip the top edge

- Vertex on a scanline
- If edges sharing the vertex are located on the same side of the scanline - properly handled
- If edges sharing the vertex are located on opposite sides of the scanline - one edge (top) is shortened: $y_{\text {mir }}{ }^{\prime} y_{\text {max }}$ rule



## Wrap-Up

- Per-pixel processes
$\Rightarrow$ Exploit pixel coherence
- Rasterization
- Shading
- Scanline conversion
- Spans
- Linear interpolation
- Floating point accuracy
- Correct rounding
- Edge table
- Active edge list
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