Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics
Comenius University in Bratislava

Neural Networks

Lecture 10

Autoencoders and gated recurrent models

Igor Farkaš 2020

Autoencoders





- Encoder-decoder architecture = NN that is trained to attempt to copy its input to its output
- We focus on simpler case – a spatial mapping (no time involved)
- Encoder $\boldsymbol{h} = f(\boldsymbol{x})$, decoder $\boldsymbol{r} = g(\boldsymbol{h}) = g(f(\boldsymbol{x}))$ yields reconstruction
- $\dim(\boldsymbol{x}) = \dim(\boldsymbol{r}) > \dim(\boldsymbol{h}) =>$ bottleneck
- imperfect reconstruction crucial (due to bottleneck)
- Can also be stochastic: $p_{\text{encoder}}(\boldsymbol{h} \mid \boldsymbol{x})$ and $p_{\text{decoder}}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{h})$, leading to generative models

Purpose

- Autoencoder (AE) – used for dim. reduction, since 1980s (LeCun, 1987; Bourlard & Kamp, 1988)
- undercomplete AE, i.e. If $\dim(\boldsymbol{h}) < \dim(\boldsymbol{x})$ ➔ bottleneck
  - captures the most salient features of the training data
- Self-supervised training to minimize loss function $L(\boldsymbol{x}, g(f(\boldsymbol{x})))$
- if linear and L = MSE, then ➔ PCA,
- nonlinear AE is a more powerful generalization
- overcomplete AE, i.e. $\dim(\boldsymbol{h}) > \dim(\boldsymbol{x})$ interesting only...
- … if regularized, in order to learn data distribution (in latent space)
- Interesting properties at hidden layer: sparsity, small derivatives of the representation, robustness

Sparse autoencoders

- Trained to minimize $L(\boldsymbol{x}, g(f(\boldsymbol{x}))) + P(\boldsymbol{h})$, ($P$ = sparsity penalty)
- typically used to learn features for another task (such as classification)
- e.g. $P(\boldsymbol{h}) = \lambda \Sigma_i |h_i|$
- using ReLU activation function also enforces sparsity
- Probabilistic interpretation: learn generative model $p_{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{h})$ that best explains observed data (by latent variables)
- Alternative: $L(\boldsymbol{x}, g(f(\boldsymbol{x}))) + P(\boldsymbol{h},\boldsymbol{x})$, where
- $P(\boldsymbol{h}) = \lambda \Sigma_i \|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} h_i\|^2$ ➔ contractive autoencoder

Denoising autoencoders

- Based on changing the reconstruction error term of the cost function (rather than adding penalty term)
- Minimizes $L(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{g}(f(\boldsymbol{x}')))$, where $\boldsymbol{x}'$ is noisy version of input $\boldsymbol{x}$
- implicitly forced to learn the structure of data $p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})$
- Introduces corruption process $C(\boldsymbol{x}' \mid \boldsymbol{x})$
- DAE learns reconstruction distrib. $p_{\text{reconstruct}}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{x}')$
- … from training pairs $\{\boldsymbol{x}', \boldsymbol{x}\}$
- can by trained by SGD as any feedforward NN





opendeep.org

Graphical interpretation of DAE learning



- data $\boldsymbol{x}$ assumed to lie on a low-dim. manifold **M** (black curve)
- $\boldsymbol{x}'$ represent departures from **M**
- DAE learns a vector field (green arrows): $g(f(\boldsymbol{x})) - \boldsymbol{x}$
- projections onto the manifold

Example: 2D → 1D



Manifold learning with autoencoder

- 1D example in 784-dim. space
- vertically translated images → a coordinate along **M**
- **M** projected in 2D (via PCA)
- Each node is associated with a tangent plane that spans the directions of variations associated with di erence vectors between the example and its neighbors
- shown (bottom right) in example



2D example with manifold of faces



(Gong et al., 2000)

- Unsupervised learning of manifold (embedding) based on a (nonparametric) nearest neighbors graph
- Generalization to new examples possible via interpolation for dense graphs

Applications of autoencoders

- Explicit dim. reduction for subsequent classification – reduces error (also less memory and runtime)
- can be applied recursively (hierarchically)
- Information retrieval – task of finding entries in a database that resemble (are relevant for) a query entry
  - entries mapped to binary low-dim. hash codes (fast search)
  - entries with the same or slightly different codes (a few bits flipped) retrieved → semantic hashing
  - sigmoid units used in encoded (forced to saturate)
  - technique used for text and images
- machine translation



Recurrent NN models with gated units

- Help preserve long-term dependencies (via gradient learning)
- Two models will be mentioned: GRU (Cho et al, 2014), LSTM (Hochreiter & Schmidhuber, 2007) – more complex
- New components:
  - memory cell (to capture long-term dep.)
  - skipping irrelevant inputs (in latent space)
  - resetting (internal state representation)



(Graves et al, 2013)

Gating the hidden state

minibatches (of size n)

$\mathbf{X}_t\,[n\times d]$ (examples × dimension)

$\mathbf{H}_{t-1}\,[n\times h]$

$\mathbf{R}_t\,\mathbf{Z}_t\,[n\times h]$

$\mathbf{W}_{xr}\,,\mathbf{W}_{xz}\,[d\times h]$

$\mathbf{W}_{hr}\,,\mathbf{W}_{hz}\,[h\times h]$

$\boldsymbol{b}_t\,,\boldsymbol{b}_z\,[1\times h]$



(Cho et al., 2014)

$$\mathbf{R}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t\,\mathbf{W}_{xr} + \mathbf{H}_{t-1}\,\mathbf{W}_{hr} + \boldsymbol{b}_r)$$
$$\mathbf{Z}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t\,\mathbf{W}_{xz} + \mathbf{H}_{t-1}\,\mathbf{W}_{hz} + \boldsymbol{b}_z)$$

(Zhang et al, 2020)

Reset gates in action



$$\mathbf{H}_t = \tanh(\mathbf{X}_t \mathbf{W}_{xh} + \mathbf{R}_t \odot \mathbf{H}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}_{hh} + \boldsymbol{b}_h)$$

- help capture short-term dependencies in time series

Update gates in action



$$\mathbf{H}_t = \mathbf{Z}_t \odot \mathbf{H}_{t-1} + (1 - \mathbf{Z}_t) \odot \mathbf{H'}_t$$

- help capture long-term dependencies in time series

LSTM's gated memory cells

- inspired by logic gates of a computer
- 3 gates controls the behavior of the memory cell (latent state)
- output gate – controls when to read from the cell
- input gate – controls when to read data into the cell
- forget gate – controls when to reset the contents of the cell
- In addition, LSTM introduces a memory cell ($\mathbf{C}$)
  - having the same shape as latent state ($\mathbf{H}$)
  - providing additional information
- GRU is simpler: has a single mechanism for input and forgetting

LSTM's three gates

minibatches (of size n)

$\mathbf{X}_t\,[n \times d]$ (examples × dimension)

$\mathbf{H}_{t-1}\,[n \times h]$

$\mathbf{R}_t, \mathbf{Z}_t\,[n \times h]$

$\mathbf{W}_{xi}, \mathbf{W}_{xf}, \mathbf{W}_{xo}\,[d \times h]$

$\mathbf{W}_{hi}, \mathbf{W}_{hf}, \mathbf{W}_{ho}\,[h \times h]$

$\boldsymbol{b}_i, \boldsymbol{b}_f, \boldsymbol{b}_o\,[1 \times h]$



$$\mathbf{I}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t \mathbf{W}_{xi} + \mathbf{H}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}_{hi} + \boldsymbol{b}_i)$$
$$\mathbf{F}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t \mathbf{W}_{xf} + \mathbf{H}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}_{hf} + \boldsymbol{b}_f)$$
$$\mathbf{O}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t \mathbf{W}_{xo} + \mathbf{H}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}_{ho} + \boldsymbol{b}_o)$$

(Hochreiter & Schmidhuber, 1997)

Candidate memory cell

$\mathbf{W}_{xc}$ $[d \times h]$

$\mathbf{W}_{hc}$ $[h \times h]$

$\boldsymbol{B}_c$ $[1 \times h]$

$\mathbf{C'}_t$ $[n \times h]$



$$\mathbf{C'}_t = \tanh(\mathbf{X}_t\mathbf{W}_{xc} + \mathbf{H}_{t-1}\mathbf{W}_{hc} + \boldsymbol{b}_c)$$

computation similar to the 3 gates described above, but using a tanh function

Memory cell



LSTM has 2 parameters: $\mathbf{I}_t$ governs how much of new data we take via $\mathbf{C'}_t$ and $\mathbf{F}_t$ determines how much of the old memory content $\mathbf{C}_{t-1}$ we retain.

$$\mathbf{C}_t = \mathbf{F}_t \odot \mathbf{C}_{t-1} + \mathbf{I}_t \odot \mathbf{C'}_t$$

Hidden states



Hidden state is $\mathbf{O}_t$-gated version of the tanh of the memory cell:

$$\mathbf{H}_t = \mathbf{O}_t \odot \tanh(\mathbf{C}_t)$$

Complete LSTM dynamics

Input gates

$$\boldsymbol{g}(t) = \sigma(\mathbf{U}^{\text{inp}}\boldsymbol{x}(t) + \mathbf{W}^{\text{inp}}\boldsymbol{h}(t-1) + \mathbf{b}^{\text{inp}})$$

Forget gates

$$\boldsymbol{f}(t) = \sigma(\mathbf{U}^{\text{fgt}}\boldsymbol{x}(t) + \mathbf{W}^{\text{fgt}}\boldsymbol{h}(t-1) + \boldsymbol{b}^{\text{fgt}})$$

Cell state

$$\boldsymbol{s}(t) = \boldsymbol{f}(t) \odot \boldsymbol{s}(t-1) + \boldsymbol{g}(t) \odot \sigma(\mathbf{U}^{\text{fgt}}\boldsymbol{x}(t) + \mathbf{W}^{\text{fgt}}\boldsymbol{h}(t-1) + \boldsymbol{b})$$

Output gates

$$\boldsymbol{q}(t) = \sigma(\mathbf{U}^{\text{out}}\boldsymbol{x}(t) + \mathbf{W}^{\text{out}}\boldsymbol{h}(t-1) + \boldsymbol{b}^{\text{out}})$$

LSTM state output

$$\boldsymbol{h}(t) = \tanh(\boldsymbol{s}(t)) \odot \boldsymbol{q}(t)$$

⊙ = element-wise multiplication



(Goodfellow et al, 2015) - use different symbols

LSTM summary

- Using trained gates, it introduces self-loops to produce paths where the gradient can flow for long (neither exploding nor vanishing)
- the time scale of integration can be changed dynamically
- the cell state is the core of the LSTM, controlled by the gates
- Trainable with various methods, e.g. SGD, 2nd order methods, Nesterov gradient, …
- Various variants found useful, clipping the gradient, e.g. element-wise (Mikolov, 2012); or by L2 norm (Pascanu et al, 2013).
- Can be combined with autoencoders

Applications of LSTM

- unconstrained handwriting recognition
- speech recognition
- music generation
- parsing (PoS tagging)
- machine translation (seq2seq):
- image captioning
- …
- new:
  - attention mech.
  - bidirect. models



(Sutskever et al, 2014)



(Xu et al, 2016)