



Cognitive semantics and cognitive theories of representation:

Session 4: Semantics of distinguishing criteria

Martin Takáč
Centre for cognitive science
DAI FMFI Comenius University in Bratislava

Goal

2

- Extend semantic theory to pre-verbal organisms (or detach it from language)
- Mutual coexistence of subjective and intersubjective meanings
- Dynamic nature of meanings
- Developmental and evolutionary pathways

Formalization – Roadmap

3

- Agent, Environment, Behaviour
- Subjective:
 - ▣ Perception, Similarity function, Schema
 - ▣ Signification, Situation schema
 - ▣ Memory (Knowledge Base)
 - ▣ Events, DC of change, Event schema
 - ▣ Autoreflexive attitudes, Beliefs, Desires, Intentions
 - ▣ Distinguishing criteria – transformers, detectors, constructors
 - ▣ Rules – Inference, Action
 - ▣ Goals, Plans, Routines
 - ▣ Me, It (Abductive view on another agent)
- Intersubjective

Formalization – Roadmap

4

- Agent, Environment, Behaviour
- Subjective:
 - ▣ Perception, Similarity function, Schema
 - ▣ Signification, Situation schema
 - ▣ Memory (Knowledge Base)
 - ▣ Events, DC of change, Event schema
 - ▣ Autoreflexive attitudes, Beliefs, Desires, Intentions
 - ▣ Distinguishing criteria – transformers, detectors, constructors
 - ▣ Rules – Inference, Action
 - ▣ Goals, Plans, Routines
 - ▣ Me, It (Abductive view on another agent)
- Intersubjective

Situated agent and its environment

5

- Time-dependent state of the environment – Env_t
- Observable actions of the agent – Beh_t
- Current **percepts** – $P(Env_t)$ is both projection and selection function
- $P(Env_t)$ are *iconic* representations (in Harnad's sense)
– discrimination possible – similarity function sim_d

Schemata

6

- The similarity function enables the agent to recognize **common patterns** among recurring percepts and gradually extract (holistic) schematic views of their relations.
- Basic schemata arise directly from recurring sensorimotor experience early in development (Piaget & Inhelder, 1966).
- More complex ones are gradually built on top of these.
- They can be learned based on detecting statistical contingences among perceptual streams (e.g. inferring a concept of an *object* as time-locked correlations of percepts in different sensory streams).

Schemata

7

- Schemata allow the agent to make sense of its current perceptions by establishing their relation to previous experiences.
- More generally, integrate the new experience within the web of existing knowledge (expressed by schemata) – Piagetian *assimilation* (Piaget & Inhelder, 1966).
- Schemata can be formalized as layered labelled hypergraph; both vertices and edges can have multiple layers of labels. Some vertices are percepts, others are inferred constructs; the edges express relations. The labels are distinguishing criteria or autoreflexive attitudes (carrying type information).

Formalization – Roadmap

8

- Agent, Environment, Behaviour
- **Subjective:**
 - Perception, Similarity function, Schema
 - Signification, Situation schema
 - Memory (Knowledge Base)
 - Events, DC of change, Event schema
 - Autoreflexive attitudes, Beliefs, Desires, Intentions
 - Distinguishing criteria – transformers, detectors, constructors
 - Rules – Inference, Action
 - Goals, Plans, Routines
 - Me, It (Abductive view on another agent)
- Intersubjective

Signification

- A sense making act σ – *signification* (Peirce, 1931-58) of the agent is a process of constructing or evoking appropriate schemata, given the current percepts $P(Env_t)$.
- We will denote the result of signification $\sigma(P(Env_t))$ and call it ***situation schema***.
- Unlike percepts that are pure transductions of the external environment, a situation schema is a *representation* with the added value of interpretation of percepts (Gärdenfors, 1996).

Memory

10

- **Knowledge base KB_t .** The knowledge base is a set that includes the agent's remembered situation schemata - a subset of $\{ \sigma(P(Env_i)) \mid i < t \}$
- Some situation schemata may have been forgotten.

Formalization – Roadmap

11

- Agent, Environment, Behaviour
- Subjective:
 - Perception, Similarity function, Schema
 - Signification, Situation schema
 - Memory (Knowledge Base)
 - Events, DC of change, Event schema
 - Autoreflexive attitudes, Beliefs, Desires, Intentions
 - Distinguishing criteria – transformers, detectors, constructors
 - Rules – Inference, Action
 - Goals, Plans, Routines
 - Me, It (Abductive view on another agent)
- Intersubjective

Events

12

- In a dynamic world situations change to other situations.
- A change of one situation to another constitutes an **event**.
- Similarity functions enable the agent to perceive temporal changes in situations.
- – formalized as ***distinguishing criterion of change*** – a function defined on pairs of the form $(\sigma(P(Env_{t-1})), \sigma(P(Env_t)))$; if the second one is a result of a change of the first, the assigned value is 1.

Event schemata

13

- The agent represents distinguished events by **event schemata** – two or more situation schemata linked by (hyper)edges labelled by distinguishing criteria of change.
- Event schemata can be constructed or evoked from memory (in case of recognition of a similarity to a past event).
- the act of event selection ε and its resulting event schema $\varepsilon(\sigma(P(Env_t)), KB_t)$.
- Extended def. of $KB_t := KB_t \cup \{ \varepsilon(\sigma(P(Env_i)), KB_i) \mid i < t \}$.

Formalization – Roadmap

14

- Agent, Environment, Behaviour
- **Subjective:**
 - Perception, Similarity function, Schema
 - Signification, Situation schema
 - Memory (Knowledge Base)
 - Events, DC of change, Event schema
 - **Autoreflexive attitudes, Beliefs, Desires, Intentions**
 - Distinguishing criteria – transformers, detectors, constructors
 - Rules – Inference, Action
 - Goals, Plans, Routines
 - Me, It (Abductive view on another agent)
- Intersubjective

Autoreflexive attitudes

15

- Special labels on (elements of) schemata, e.g. *current situation, goal, problem, question...*
- *beliefs* B_t – schemata of currently perceived situation/event
- *desires* D_t – schemata of the agent's needs and long-term goals
- *intentions* I_t – schemata of the agent's current goal, a plan to achieve this goal together with a state of its execution, and other agenda-related structures
- A **current state of “Me”**: $Me_t = (KB_t, P(Env_t), B_t, D_t, I_t, Beh_t)$

Formalization – Roadmap

16

- Agent, Environment, Behaviour
- **Subjective:**
 - Perception, Similarity function, Schema
 - Signification, Situation schema
 - Memory (Knowledge Base)
 - Events, DC of change, Event schema
 - Autoreflexive attitudes, Beliefs, Desires, Intentions
 - **Distinguishing criteria – transformers, detectors, constructors**
 - Rules – Inference, Action
 - Goals, Plans, Routines
 - Me, It (Abductive view on another agent)
- Intersubjective

Operations on schemata

17

- *Refine, zoom in/out, abstract,...*
- ability to distinguish (and perform) these transformations formalized as **transformers**.
 - ▣ a declarative aspect (as a description of relations among schemata)
 - ▣ a procedural aspect (as a device that transforms a schema into another schema).
- Transformers are a special type of **distinguishing criteria**

Grouping schemata by similarities

18

- *Types* of situations and events
- More sophisticated similarity functions.
- Special transformers called **constructors** operate on sets of schemata (exemplars) and construct a new distinguishing criterion representing their common characteristics, called **detector**.

Detectors

- Internally, a detector consists of a schema specifying a template with features important for category membership (in some cases more or less abstract representation of a prototypical, salient or most frequent category member) and a similarity function specifying how important the particular features are and how they contribute to the overall similarity.
- Functionally, a detector can be formalized as a partial function that operates on (fragments of) schemata and returns their degree of membership in the implicitly represented category (either as 0=no, 1=yes, or by a fuzzy value from the closed interval $[0,1]$). Constructors can also modify an existing detector when new exemplars arrive.

What detectors detect

20

- *situation types* (e.g. a traffic jam)
- *event types* (e.g. a car crash)
- *objects/individuals* (such as Barack Obama)
- *classes of objects* (dog, stone, food)
- *properties of objects* (red, big, hairy)
- *relations between/among objects* (bigger than, ancestor)
- *changes* (grow, faint).

Types of distinguishing criteria

21

- **Detectors**
- **Transformers**
 - ▣ **Constructor** – a type of transformer that creates/modifies detectors (usually by inducing common properties of exemplars).
 - ▣ **Updater** – a special type of transformer that keeps track of changing schemas and DC.

Formalization – Roadmap

22

- Agent, Environment, Behaviour
- **Subjective:**
 - Perception, Similarity function, Schema
 - Signification, Situation schema
 - Memory (Knowledge Base)
 - Events, DC of change, Event schema
 - Autoreflexive attitudes, Beliefs, Desires, Intentions
 - Distinguishing criteria – transformers, detectors, constructors
 - **Rules – Inference, Action**
 - **Goals, Plans, Routines**
 - Me, It (Abductive view on another agent)
- Intersubjective

Rules

23

- keeping track of sequences of transformations typically occurring in certain situations – **rules** – schemata connecting:
 - ▣ *premises* (prerequisites – the rule’s applicability conditions represented by distinguishing criteria of situation and event types) to
 - ▣ *consequences* (represented either directly by situation and event schemata or indirectly by transformers that can be applied to the current situation/event and construct the resulting one),
 - ▣ *justifications* (optional; situation and event types guarding the evidence that would prevent the application of the rule in case of *default rules*)

Rules

24

- ***inference rules*** – internal transformations
- ***action rules*** – effects of overt actions on the environment
- Rules can be chained together in the form of ***plans***. Remembered successful plans are called ***routines***.

Approximate truth

25

- “reality check” – compare the predicted outcome of an action with the real one.
- Helps to rank/order the representation in terms how well they match “the world”.

Formalization – Roadmap

26

- Agent, Environment, Behaviour
- Subjective:
 - ▣ Perception, Similarity function, Schema
 - ▣ Signification, Situation schema
 - ▣ Memory (Knowledge Base)
 - ▣ Events, DC of change, Event schema
 - ▣ Autoreflexive attitudes, Beliefs, Desires, Intentions
 - ▣ Distinguishing criteria – transformers, detectors, constructors
 - ▣ Rules – Inference, Action
 - ▣ Goals, Plans, Routines
 - ▣ Me, It (Abductive view on another agent)
- Intersubjective

Toward intersubjectivity...

27

- Observable behaviour: $It_t = (Beh_t)$
- Abduced $(KB'_t, P'(Env_t), B'_t, D'_t, I'_t)$
- Complete view of another agent
 - ▣ $It'_t = (KB'_t, P'(Env_t), B'_t, D'_t, I'_t, Beh_t)$.

Instruments

28

- **Instrument** – a measure, which is generally accessible, interpretable in a unique way and *accepted by a group of agents*.
- Examples: religious dogmata, sacred texts
- History of science: measurement, proof, significance tests,...
- Cookbooks, lookup tables, mechanistic procedures, algorithms

Instruments

- A *distinguishing criterion with an instrument* is a function with a parameter that specifies how to compute its value for its arguments. The parameter is called *instrument* and it is a transformer.
- The transformer is either an algorithm or a conventional, more or less mechanical, procedure based on an expert knowledge. In the latter case, the expert knowledge is expressed by a set of schemata associated with the transformer (as its additional arguments).
- A schema generated by a transformer and a set of associated schemata will be called *schema with an instrument*.

Instruments in science

30

- Selection of an instrument generates a *paradigm*.
- Examples: Geometry, Psychology...
- *“The devices with knowledge built in their construction determine what in the world will we notice and how we will interpret it.”* (Kováč, 2000b)
- Or as an old proverb says: “When you have a hammer, you see nails everywhere”.

Universal Truth?

31

- biological roots of meanings: a final reality-check of our meanings and conceptions is success and failure in achieving our goals.
- What is our ultimate goal then?
 - ▣ Autopoiesis - maintenance of our onticity?
 - ▣ Minimisation of suffering?
 - ▣ ... ?